

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,504	02/22/2002	Artur Schworer	MFA-14502/04	9973	
25006	7590 11/03/2004		EXAMINER		
	KRASS, GROH, SPRI & CITKOWSKI, PC	CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C			
280 N OLD WOODARD AVE SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634	3634	
BIRMINGHA	M, MI 48009		DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/081,50	<b>04</b>	SCHWORER, ARTUR		5			
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alvin C. C		3634					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. s, a reply within the state period will apply and wiy statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 August 2004	•						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>2-6,9-20 and 23</u> is/are rejected.								
·									
	☑ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
اساره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election is	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by the Ex								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
11/1	The path of declaration is objected to by	ule Examiner. Iyo	ne the attached Office	Action of form P	10-152.				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		• •	<u></u>					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National	Stage				
* 5	application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for	<del>-</del>	• • •	ed.					
	· ·		Jopioo Hot 1600146						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/081,504

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23,2-6,9-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German pat. 566 to Miller in view of Waters et al. and Erckhoff. Miller shows the claimed scaffold with the exception of the holding means and the plurality of guide stops. Waters shows holding means 12 attached to recessed end portion of a platform for anchoring the end portion of the platform to horizontal carriers that are parallel to and adjacent to the end portion of the platform. Erckhoff at 71 shows a plurality of hook-like guide stops on side portions of a platform for engaging over a supporting frame side rails. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the end portion of the platform of Miller to comprise holding means, as taught by Waters, for anchoring the end portion of the platform to his parallel first horizontal carriers 47 and to comprise plural hook-like stops, in lieu of his single hook member at 11, to enable intermittent side support of the side of his platform to his second horizontal carriers 41. The claimed method would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the modified scaffold to Miller.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,504

Art Unit: 3634

į

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller, Waters, and Erckhoff, as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Schworer. Schworer shows a rose connector for attaching horizontal carriers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vertical members of Miller to comprise rose connectors for attaching horizontal carriers to his vertical members.

Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's election of group I in the reply filed on 09.08.04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicant's arguments filed 4.15.04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to Miller and Waters, applicant stated that there is no motivation for Miller to comprise holding means as taught by Waters. It is noted that Miller, Waters and the claimed invention are of the same field of endeavor, thus it is proper for one of ordinary skill in the art to appreciate the teachings of Waters for his disclosed purpose to resolve the difference at hand between the prior

Application/Control Number: 10/081,504

Art Unit: 3634

art of Miller and that claimed invention. Applicant stated that to modify Miller as taught by Waters would be redundant. The examiner disagrees, as it would provide enhanced support just as applicant's claimed invention, as I assume that applicant does not believe his claimed device is redundant.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634

ACS